

Regulatory challenges and opportunities for model-based approaches for patient pharmacokinetic (PK) studies with sparse sampling design

ACoP10 workshops:

October 22, 2019, Orlando, FL

Session 4c: FDA Science Forum: Model-based Approaches for Patient Pharmacokinetic Studies with Sparse Sampling Design

Liang Zhao, PhD

Division of Quantitative Methods & Modeling, Office of Research and Standards, Office of Generic Drugs, CDER, FDA

www.fda.gov

Disclaimer: My remarks today do not necessarily reflect the official views of the FDA

Application of Patient PK studies in NDA and ANDA Drug Development Process



Patient PK studies assess bioavailability (BA) and bioequivalence (BE) in New Drug Applications (NDA) and Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA)



Patient PK Studies and Sampling Design



In some situations, it <u>may not</u> be feasible/practical to obtain rich samples **Special population** Cancer patients

Ideal PK

study

To capture

Cmax, Kel

Rich blood

sampling

Biological matrix Other cases other than blood Middle ear fluid Late phase clinical study Pediatric patients Bronchoalveolar lavage Animal toxicity study Cost reduction: Industry Aqueous humor perspective

General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Pediatric Studies for Drugs and Biological Products

DRAFT GUIDANCE

Guidance for Industry

"Sparse sampling of blood or plasma is considered more acceptable for <u>pediatric studies</u>, because the total volume of blood sampled can be minimized."

www.fda.gov

Challenges in Sparse Sampling:





- Sparse sample data are highly variable
- Required larger sample size (Total patients enrolled: 987)



NCA calculations for BE evaluations

- Exclusion of subject with missing data (crossover study)
- Sensitivity to missing data
- Interpolation problems from the last observation to ∞
- Equal weighting of observations

Exposure-Response analysis

- When individual data are sparse or uninformative, and parameter shrinkage is high, Empirical Bayes Estimates (EBEs) are considered less reliable
- The reliability of individual PK patient exposure metrics is dependent on
 - \circ The nature of the collected PK data
 - The validity of model assumptions (e.g., time-invariant pharmacokinetics, model structure, dose-proportional pharmacokinetics)

4

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2009/050818s000clinpharmr.pdf Population Pharmacokinetics Guidance for Industry. https://www.fda.gov/media/128793/download

www.fda.gov

LLEN

Model-integrated Drug Development Approach:



Core Tool Sets

www.fda.gov



Application of Model-base Approaches in Drug Development



Application of quantitative methods and modeling is widespread in both new and generic drug development process



Zhao et al. 2019. Generating Model Integrated Evidence for Generic Drug Development and Assessment. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2019 Feb;105(2):338-349

Opportunities in Model Based Approach:

Example with Population PK modeling

Population (NLME) model based BE approaches

- Can naturally handle inherent problems with NCA calculations: unequal weighting of observations, missing data, data below the limit of quantification and interpolation from the last observation to ∞
- Simulations of expected PK profiles give an understanding of what features may be important to compare in a BE study
- PKPD models give an indication of the PK factors driving drug effect (clinical endpoint BE study)





Population PK modeling in Exposure-Response analysis

- Population PK models can predict individual patient exposures at specific time points regardless of the spread in sampling times (e.g., trough concentrations can be predicted for all subjects).
- When PK data are missing in a small number of subjects, population PK models can predict the most likely concentration-time profile based on the subject's individual covariates (e.g., body weight, genetic polymorphism, sex).

Overcoming Challenges in Model Based Approach



FDA



Model-Integrated Approaches: Focus on Ophthalmic products

Ocular PBPK – Focus for BE Assessment of Bunazosin



- Modeling (i.e., mechanistic description) of the <u>formulation</u>
- Includes what happens to the product after topical application and interaction with tear film and eye blinking
- For <u>BE assessment</u>, here is where the difference between two products are inputted into the model



 Critical for accurate prediction of local concentrations -> protein (including enzymes) binding and effect modeling

FDA

 Critical for verification – confidence in local and systemic concentration predictions

Courtesy to Andrew Babiskin

Ocular Compartmental Absorption and Transit (OCAT) Model to Identify Critical Quality Attribute of Dexamethasone Ophthalmic Suspension



- After instillation, several routes of API transport:
 - Dissolved API diffusing from tear film through cornea or conjunctiva
 - Solid particles and dissolved API cleared from eye surface through nasolacrimal drainage -> systemic circulation
- OCAT Model Development internally conducted rabbit study with PK sampling from multiple ocular tissues and plasma
- Model Verification with multiple datasets showing:
 - Particle size impact on ocular absorption
 - Viscosity impact on ocular absorption
 - Non-linear dose-exposure relationship

Chockalingam, Ashok, et al. "Protocol for evaluation of topical ophthalmic drug products in different compartments of fresh eye tissues in a rabbit model." Journal of pharmacological and toxicological methods 96 (2019): 9-14.

LeMerdy, Maxime, et al. "Application of Mechanistic Ocular Absorption Modeling and Simulation to Understand the Impact of Formulation Properties on Ophthalmic Bioavailability in Rabbits: A Case Study using Dexamethasone Suspension." AAPS J. 2019 May 20;21(4):65

Courtesy to Andrew Babiskin



11

Ocular Compartmental Absorption and Transit (OCAT) Model to Identify Critical Quality Attribute of Dexamethasone Ophthalmic Suspension



Parameter sensitivity analysis in rabbit on particle size (PS) and viscosity (Vis)

- Viscosity is a <u>critical attribute affecting BE</u>
- Plasma/systemic PK is not reflective of local concentrations



Saturated solution vs. suspension simulations

- Solid particles in formulation leads to higher aqueous humor concentrations, BUT ...
- Also higher systemic exposure
- A tool for product development that can weigh benefits and risks

LeMerdy, Maxime, et al. "Application of Mechanistic Ocular Absorption Modeling and Simulation to Understand the Impact of Formulation Properties on Ophthalmic Bioavailability in Rabbits: A Case Study using Dexamethasone Suspension." AAPS J. 2019 May 20;21(4):65 LeMerdy, Maxime, et al. "Physiologically-based Pharmacokinetic Model to Support Ophthalmic Suspensions Development." ASCPT AM 2019 poster.



Model-Integrated Approaches: Focus on Liposomal Injection (Cytarabine[®])

In Vivo Process and Bioequivalence Study Challenges: Liposomal Injection (Cytarabine[®])

FDA

Indication and Regimen:

50 mg, administered intrathecally (intraventricular or lumbar puncture) every 14 days for treatment of lymphomatous meningitis

There is sustained release of cytarabine from the liposomes and the terminal half-life of free cytarabine was prolonged in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) more than 40-fold when compared with conventional cytarabine injection (141 vs. 3.4 h)



Solutions: Model-Informed Bioequivalence Method



Population PK Modeling of encapsulated and free cytarabine

Adapted from

Ken Ogasawara, Alejandro Pérez-Pitarch, Jia Chen, Myong-Jin Kim, Liang Zhao, Lanyan Fang. Bioequivalence Evaluation for a Complex Drug Product, Cytarabine Liposome Injection, Using Modeling and Simulation Approaches. American Conference of Pharmacometrics 2018, San Diego, CA

FDA

Population PK-Informed BE Limit



Limit	Minima	I number of subj	ects
80.00 - 125.	00%	68	
70.00 - 142.	86%	28	
66.67 - 150.	00%	24	
60.00 - 166.	67%	20	
50.00 - 200.	00%	8	
RBA	20 patients with	8 patients with	
	limit of 60 – 167%	limit of 50 – 200%	
100% (= BE)	89.6	82.0	= estimate of power
167% (= BIE)	1.7	26.3	= estimate of type-I error

The model-based BE evaluation method with minimal 20 subjects and a widened BE limit of 60.00–166.67% provided reasonable statistical power and type-I error rate.

Adapted from

Ken Ogasawara, Alejandro Pérez-Pitarch, Jia Chen, Myong-Jin Kim, Liang Zhao, Lanyan Fang. Bioequivalence Evaluation for a Complex Drug Product, Cytarabine Liposome Injection, Using Modeling and Simulation Approaches. American Conference of Pharmacometrics 2018, San Diego, CA FD

Industry-Agency-Patient Ecology





Excerpt of QMM-related grants/contracts under the GDUFA I regulatory science program



Variable	Grants/contracts	Institute	Start	End
	Pharmacometric modeling and simulation for evaluation of BE for leuprolide acetate injection	University of Utah	9/2015	Ongoing
	PK study of opioid drug product after insufflation of milled drug products	Vince & Associates Clinical Research	9/2015	9/2017
New BE metrics	PK–PD studies of methylphenidate extended-release products in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	Massachusetts General Hospital	9/2014	Ongoing
	Pharmacometric modeling of immunosuppressant for evaluation of BE criteria	University of Utah	9/2014	2019
Physiologically based	Design, development, implementation, and validation of a mechanistic PBPK framework for the prediction of the <i>in vivo</i> behavior of supersaturating drug products	Simcyp, Ltd.	9/2016	8/2018
models for systemic and locally actin	Development and validation of dermal PBPK modeling platform toward virtual BE assessment considering population variability	Simcyp, Ltd.	9/2014	8/2018
products	Physiologically based biopharmaceutics and PK of drug products for dermal absorption in humans	University of South Australia	9/2014	8/2018
	Enhancing the reliability, efficiency, and usability of Bayesian population PBPK modeling	Colorado State University	9/2016	8/2018
	A cluster-based assessment of drug delivery in asthmatic small airways	University of Iowa	9/2016	9/2018
Physiologically based	Novel method to evaluate BE of nanomedicines	Nanotechnology characterization laboratory	5/2016	4/2018
models for systemic and locally acting	Investigate the sensitivity of PK in detecting differences in physicochemical properties of the active ingredient in suspension nasal products for local action	University of Florida	9/2013	11/2017
products	An integrated multiscale–Multiphysics modeling and simulation of ocular drug delivery with whole-body PK response	CFD Corporation	9/2014	8/2017
	PBPK modeling and simulation for ocular dosage forms	Simulations Plus	9/2015	8/2017
	Evaluation and development of model-based BE analysis strategies	Uppsala University	6/2017	6/2019
	Evaluation of model-based BE statistical approaches for sparse design PK studies	University of Paris	9/2016	9/2018
	Data-fusion based platform development of population PK–PD modeling and statistical analysis for BE assessment of long-acting injectable products	University of Massachusetts	9/2015	8/2018
RE assessment	PK–PD studies of cardiovascular drugs	University of Florida	9/2014	8/2018
	Computational drug delivery: leveraging predictive models to develop bioequivalent generic long-acting injections	Qrono, Inc.	9/2015	9/2018
	IPD to advance oral product BE regulation	University of Michigan	9/2015	9/2018 <mark>8</mark>
www.fda.gov	Correlation of mesalamine PK with local availability	University of Michigan	9/2013	9/2015

Take Home Messages

- Patient PK studies with sparse sampling are challenging in assessing relative BA and BE
- Innovative model-integrated drug development approaches can overcome challenges associated with sparse PK sampling
- Agency is open for alternative approaches to demonstrate BE
 - Identify CQAs for drug exposure and performance
 - Model-informed BE metrics and limit
 - Model-integrated BE assessment
- GDUFA regulatory science program is supporting technical enhancements in this area





Acknowledgments



FDA/OMPT/CDER

OGD/ORS/DQMM

Lanyan (Lucy) Fang Myong-Jin Kim Andrew Babiskin Satish Sharan Mark Donnelly Maxime LeMerdy Khondoker Alam OGD/ORS/DTP Darby Kozak & Team OGD/ORS-IO Wenlei Jiang Lei Zhang Robert Lionberger OB/DB-1 Li Yang

DQMM External Collaborators Uppsala University, Grant #: HHSF223201710015C Simulations Plus, Inc., Grant #: 1U01FD005211 Simulations Plus, Inc., Contract #: HHSF223201810255P CFD Research Corporation, Grant #: 1U01FD005249 CFD Research Corporation, Contract #: HHSF223201810151C

www.fda.gov/GDUFARegScience

Backups



Emerging Tool: PBPK Model Applications for Generic Products



- Agency is open to alternative BE approach with proper justifications
- PBPK modeling and simulation can address certain questions in generic drug development process

FDA