

Dermal Open Flow Microperfusion



**PROMISING
TECHNOLOGIES:**

**CONTINUOUS SKIN
SAMPLING METHODS FOR
CUTANEOUS PK-BASED
BIOEQUIVALENCE
ASSESSMENT**

Katrin I. Tiffner, Beate
Boulgaropoulos, Thomas
Birngruber, Manfred Bodenlenz,
Bettina C. Lackner, Reingard
Raml, Frank Sinner

*EUFEPS - 5th conference on The
Global Harmonization Initiative
September 28 - 29, 2022*

A big thanks to...

2



Anita Eberl
Analytics



Katrin Tiffner
dOFM BE Study



Manfred Bodenlenz
dOFM BE Study



Reingard Raml
Analytics



Thomas Pieber
Clinical PI



Karin Pickl
Regulatory



Bernd Tschapeller
Data Mangement



Thomas Augstin
Statistics



Christoph Magnes
Analytics



Thomas Birngruber
OFM Group Leader



Beate Boulgaropoulos
Scientific Writing



Bettina Lackner
Statistics



Selma Mautner
Scientific Writing

Sam G. Raney
Markham Luke
Tannaz Ramezanli
Priyanka Ghosh
Bryan Newman
Elena Rantou
Youngsook Lee
Lisa Ko
Jill Coker

....

Mike Roberts
Xin Liu
Conor Evans
Narasimha Murthy

...and many other
from different
organizations....

...and many more
from our team....

Introduction

3

- ✓ optimizing continuous skin sampling techniques
- ✓ generating scientific evidence for their use for cutaneous bioequivalence


In-Vivo



Comparative Endpoint BE Studies



2013 - today



Skin PK-based BE Studies

Introduction

4

✓ A long-term scientific collaboration US FDA – Joanneum Research

FDA project 1U01FD004946

FDA project 1U01FD005861

FDA project 1U01FD007669-01





BE for hydrophilic and low protein bound API

BE for medium lipophilic and protein bound API

Low risk of skin re-absorption influencing cutaneous BE

BE for highly lipophilic and protein bound API

Optimization of skin PK-based BE studies



4 Clinical Studies

2 Clinical Studies

2 Clinical Studies

2 Clinical Studies

Clinical Studies planned

2013 2014 2015 2016 2018 2019 2021 2022 2023


Requirements of skin PK-based BE Methods

- 5
- **Determine cutaneous PK of a topically applied drug at or near the site of action in the dermis**
 - **Reproducibility and accuracy of PK-based method**
 - Standardization of equipment and clinical study performance
 - Reflect changes in skin bioavailability (BA)
 - **Verification of suitability of skin PK-based clinical study design**
 - General set-up: application of dose, specific dose duration and sampling duration, assessment of absorption and elimination phase (sampling duration of ≥ 12 hours), etc.
 - Verify sensitivity of skin PK-based method
 - Absence of lateral cross-talk between application sites and skin re-absorption from systemic compartment
 - **Verification of suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs**
 - Low hydrophobic and low protein-bound: acyclovir
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: lidocaine/prilocaine
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: diclofenac
 - **Commercial availability of clinical study performance**


Cutaneous PK of Topically Applied Drugs

6

✓ Continuous skin sampling techniques give access to drug bioavailability.




Continuous Skin Sampling




Head-to-Head Comparison

Open Flow Microperfusion



Microdialysis



Continuous Skin Sampling Methods

Requirements of skin PK-based BE Methods

- 7 **✓ Determine cutaneous PK of a topically applied drug at or near the site of action in the dermis**
 - **Reproducibility and accuracy of PK-based method**
 - Standardization of equipment and clinical study performance
 - Reflect changes in skin bioavailability (BA)
 - **Verification of suitability of skin PK-based clinical study design**
 - General set-up: application of dose, specific dose duration and sampling duration, assessment of absorption and elimination phase (sampling duration of ≥ 12 hours), etc.
 - Verify sensitivity of skin PK-based method
 - Absence of lateral cross-talk between application sites and skin re-absorption from systemic compartment
 - **Verification of suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs**
 - Low hydrophobic and low protein-bound: acyclovir
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: lidocaine/prilocaine
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: diclofenac
 - **Commercial availability of clinical study performance**

Reproducibility and Accuracy of PK-based Methods

✓ Required CE-certified equipment for dOFM and dMD

dOFM

dMD

8

BE probe

- 0.5 x 15 mm sampling mesh
- 0.5 mm insertion needle
- CE-certified
- patent granted



MPP pump

- wearable
- 0.1 – 10 µl/min
- 3 OFM probes
- delta push-pull
- CE-certified




<https://www.openflowmicroperfusion.com>

Auxiliary Consumables

- Stabilization ring
- Connectors
-

66 Linear Catheter

- 0.5 x 10 mm exchange area
- 0.5 x 30 mm exchange area
- 20 kDa and 100 kDa
- CE-certified



106/107 Microdialysis pump

- wearable
- 0.3 µl/min / 0-5 µl/min
- 1 dMD probe
- Push only
- CE-certified



<https://www.mdialysis.com>

Auxiliary Consumables



- Perfusate
-

Reproducibility and Accuracy of PK-based Methods


✓ Rigorous standardization by using SOPs is crucial for PK-based BE

9


Standardization of dOFM clinical trials



Standardized probe insertion



Use of application templates



Verification probe depth by ultrasound


- Insertion of probes
- Trauma formation
- Application site
- Dosage application
- Probe depth
- Flow rate



Minimization of trauma formation by cooling after probe insertion



Standardized drug application



Flow rates of all probes in one subject

Verification of stable flow by weight measurement

Reproducibility and Accuracy of PK-based Methods

✓ dOFM showed dose dependency of skin bioavailability.

10

Number of healthy subjects	Dose topically applied	Product	API	Total number of application sites	AUC MEAN (ng*h*ml ⁻¹)	AUC STD
2	5 mg/cm ²	US Zovirax [®]	acyclovir	4	10.4	12.4
2	15 mg/cm ² ↑	US Zovirax [®]	acyclovir	4	50.1 ↑	19.2
6	5 mg/cm ²	Emla [®] Cream	lidocaine	12	3,190.33	1,358.34
6	15 mg/cm ² ↑	Emla [®] Cream	lidocaine	12	5,595.50 ↑	4,800.96
6	5 mg/cm ²	Emla [®] Cream	prilocaine	12	5,390.58	1,773.87
6	15 mg/cm ² ↑	Emla [®] Cream	prilocaine	12	9,687.38 ↑	7,087.91
6	2 mg/cm ²	Voltarene	diclofenac	12	63.99	1.75
6	50 mg/cm ² ↑	Voltarene	diclofenac	12	680.58 ↑	1.94

Acyclovir (topical) 36 hours
→ 5 mg/cm² versus 15 mg/cm²

Lidocaine (topical) 24 hours
→ 5 mg/cm² versus 15 mg/cm²


Prilocaine (topical) 24 hours
→ 5 mg/cm² versus 15 mg/cm²

Diclofenac (topical) 24 hours
→ 2 mg/cm² versus 50 mg/cm²

Reproducibility and Accuracy of PK-based Methods

✓ dOFM showed application time dependency of skin bioavailability.

11



Lidocaine concentration-time profiles (mean ± SE) for drug product removal after two hours (orange curve) and after four hours (blue curve)




Requirements of Skin PK-based BE Methods

- 12
- ✓ **Determine cutaneous PK of a topically applied drug at or near the site of action in the dermis**
 - ✓ **Reproducibility and accuracy of PK-based method**
 - ✓ Standardization of equipment and clinical study performance
 - ✓ Reflect changes in skin bioavailability (BA)
 - **Verification of suitability of skin PK-based clinical study design**
 - General set-up: application of dose, specific dose duration and sampling duration, assessment of absorption and elimination phase (sampling duration of ≥ 12 hours), etc.
 - Verify sensitivity of skin PK-based method
 - Absence of lateral cross-talk between application sites and skin re-absorption from systemic compartment
 - **Verification of suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs**
 - Low hydrophobic and low protein-bound: acyclovir
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: lidocaine/prilocaine
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: diclofenac
 - **Commercial availability of clinical study performance**

Suitability of Skin PK-based Clinical Study Design

✓ A skin PK-based BE clinical design can consist of two studies.




Suitability of Skin PK-based Clinical Study Design

✓ Clinical design is suitable for pivotal dOFM BE study.

14

- ✓ A suitable PK profile including absorption, elimination phase and C_{max} of both APIs was obtained.
- ✓ The concentration range of the analytical method (HPLC-HiResMS) of 1 ng/mL for analytical validation was confirmed.




Skin bioavailability of EMLA® by dOFM (20 healthy subjects)

Suitability of Skin PK-based Clinical Study Design

15

✓ Clinical design is suitable for pivotal dOFM BE study.

- ✓ A suitable PK profile including absorption, elimination phase and C_{max} of both APIs was obtained.
- ✓ The concentration range of the analytical method (HPLC-HiResMS) of 1 ng/mL for analytical validation was confirmed.
- ✓ Verified sensitivity of study design to address skin BA.
 - ✓ Dose response from $5 < 10 < 15 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ for AUC and C_{max}
 - ✓ Oraquix[®] showed different skin BA than EMLA[®] at same dose.




Skin bioavailability of EMLA[®] by dOFM (20 healthy subjects)

Suitability of Skin PK-based Clinical Study Design

- ✓ Lateral diffusion between adjacent application sites is negligible.
- ✓ Skin re-absorption from systemic compartment is negligible.

16



No topical application on central application site

Condition	Drug	N	AUC [(ng·h)/mL]			
			Minimum	Median	Maximum	Geometric Mean
Lidocaine/Prilocaine 2.5% Cream (10 mg/cm ²)	lidocaine	23	1,620.19	3,986.35	26,766.50	4,556.91
No topical application (leg)		12	1.83	14.27	103.09	15.77
No topical application (arm)		12	0.50	10.19	52.09	6.65
Lidocaine/Prilocaine 2.5% Cream (10 mg/cm ²)	prilocaine	23	3,702.99	7,506.36	39,588.82	8,603.01
No topical application (leg)		12	2.02	27.85	665.82	28.05
No topical application (arm)		12	0.50	6.63	61.13	7.09

Even in this worst case scenario (60 g cream applied) only ~0.5% AUC of the treated site were found in untreated skin (arm).

lidocaine:
0.48% of treated AUC

prilocaine:
0.52% of treated AUC

Results from clinical dOFM verification main study – high dose

Requirements for skin PK-based BE Methods

17

- ✓ **Determine cutaneous PK of a topically applied drug at or near the site of action in the dermis**
- ✓ **Reproducibility and accuracy of PK-based method**
 - ✓ Standardization of equipment and clinical study performance
 - ✓ Reflect changes in skin bioavailability (BA)
- ✓ **Verification of suitability of skin PK-based clinical study design**
 - ✓ General set-up: application of dose, specific dose duration and sampling duration, assessment of absorption and elimination phase (sampling duration of ≥ 12 hours), etc.
 - ✓ Verify sensitivity of skin PK-based method
 - ✓ Absence of lateral cross-talk between application sites and skin re-absorption from systemic compartment
- **Verification of suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs**
 - Low hydrophobic and low protein-bound: acyclovir
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: lidocaine/prilocaine
 - Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: diclofenac
- **Commercial availability of clinical study performance**

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs


18

dOFM pivotal BE verification study

→ *low hydrophilic and low protein-bound API*

- 20 healthy subjects
- Reference Listed Drug (R): Zovirax® US
- Test Product (T): Aciclovir-1A Pharma Austria
- 36 hours dOFM sampling time
- 12 dOFM probes per subject
- BE calculated by using ABE

Clinical study outline - acyclovir









Clinical design of dOFM pivotal BE verification study for acyclovir

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

- ✓ dOFM BE verification study failed to show BE for Aciclovir to Zovirax US.
- ✓ dOFM BE verification study showed BE for Zovirax US to itself.

19



Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs


20

dOFM pivotal BE verification study

→ *medium hydrophilic and medium protein-bound API*

- 20 healthy subjects
- Reference Drug (R): EMLA[®] cream
- US-FDA Approved Generic (T_{gen}): Fougera[®] cream
- Test Product (T_{non-eqv.}): Oraquix[®] gel
- Drug dosing for 3 hours
- 24 hours dOFM sampling time
- 16 dOFM probes per subject
- BE calculated by using SABE

Clinical study outline - lidocaine/prilocaine low dose



- R₁/R₂ 15mg/cm² EMLA[®] topical cream (Actavis Pharma Inc., US)
- T_{non-eqv.} 15 mg/cm² Oraquix periodontal gel (Dentsply Detrey GmbH, Germany)
- T_{gen} 15 mg/cm² Lidocaine 2.5% and Prilocaine 2.5% cream (E. Fougera & Co, US)



Clinical design of dOFM pivotal BE verification study for lidocaine/prilocaine low dose

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

✓ dOFM BE verification study showed BE for EMLA to itself.

21

EMLA vs. itself (R)




Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs




✓ dOFM BE verification study showed BE for US-FDA approved generic to EMLA.

22

EMLA vs. itself (R)



US-FDA approved generic vs. R (EMLA)





Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs



✓ dOFM BE verification study failed to show BE for Non-BE product to EMLA.

23



EMLA vs. itself (R)



US-FDA approved generic vs. R (EMLA)



Non-BE drug vs. EMLA



Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs


24

dOFM pivotal BE verification study

→ *medium hydrophilic and medium protein-bound*

- 20 healthy subjects
- Reference Drug (R): EMLA® cream
- Drug dosing for 3 hours
- 12 hours dOFM sampling time
- 15 dOFM and 6 dMD probes per subject
- BE calculated by using SABE

Clinical study outline – lidocaine/prilocaine high dose




Clinical design of dOFM pivotal BE verification study for lidocaine/prilocaine high dose

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

25

✓ dOFM BE verification study showed BE for EMLA to itself at 150 mg/cm².

Parameter	Analysis variable	Point Estimator (Test/Reference)	Upper bound of the 95% scaled confidence interval	Scaled average BE-criterion satisfied
Lidocaine	LogAUC	1.20	-0.0440	Yes ✓
Prilocaine	LogAUC	1.18	-0.0476	Yes ✓
Lidocaine	LogCmax	1.15	-0.0498	Yes ✓
Prilocaine	LogCmax	1.15	-0.0593	Yes ✓



dOFM pivotal BE verification study for lidocaine/prilocaine high dose


Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

✓ dOFM BE verification study showed equal sampling quality of dMD and dOFM.

26



Lidocaine

Lidocaine concentrations from dOFM and dMD on legs: median with Q1 and Q3



Prilocaine

Prilocaine concentrations from dOFM and dMD on legs: median with Q1 and Q3



dOFM pivotal BE verification study for lidocaine/prilocaine high dose

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs


27

dOFM pivotal BE verification study

→ highly hydrophilic and highly protein-bound API

- 16 healthy subjects
- RLD (R): Voltaren®
- T equivalent : Diclofenac sodium gel 1% (Perrigo)
US-FDA approved generic
- Tnon-equivalent: Pennsaid® (neg. control)
- Drug dosing for 6 hours
- 24 hours dOFM sampling
- 27 dOFM probes per subject

Clinical study outline - diclofenac




n = 16

Clinical design of dOFM pivotal BE verification study for diclofenac

Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

- ✓ dOFM BE verification study showed different bioavailability of Voltaren® and Pennsaid®.
- ✓ dOFM BE verification study showed similarity of US-FDA approved product to Voltaren®.

28



Skin-PK of dOFM pivotal BE verification study for diclofenac



Suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs

29

- ✓ dOFM BE verification study failed to show BE for non-BE product Voltaren®.
- ✓ dOFM BE verification study showed BE of US-FDA approved product to Voltaren® in male subgroup.

Comparison	Variable	Sex	Point Estimator (Test/Reference)	Within-reference standard deviation	Upper bound of CI95% (SABE) for point estimate	SABE-criterion satisfied
T _{non-equ} versus R	LogAUC	male	20.49	0.91	10.37	N
T _{non-equ} versus R	LogAUC	female	14.59	1.26	8.81	N
T _{non-equ} versus R	LogC _{MAX}	male	30.57	0.93	13.67	N
T _{non-equ} versus R	LogC _{MAX}	female	16.12	1.37	9.18	N
T _{generic} versus R	LogAUC	male	0.84	0.94	-0.43	Y
T _{generic} versus R	LogC _{MAX}	male	0.80	0.98	-0.41	Y

Requirements of skin PK-based BE Methods

30

- ✓ **Determine cutaneous PK of a topically applied drug at or near the site of action in the dermis**
- ✓ **Reproducibility and accuracy of PK-based method**
 - ✓ Standardization of equipment and clinical study performance
 - ✓ Reflect changes in skin bioavailability (BA)
- ✓ **Verification of suitability of skin PK-based clinical study design**
 - ✓ General set-up: application of dose, specific dose duration and sampling duration, assessment of absorption and elimination phase (sampling duration of ≥ 12 hours), etc.
 - ✓ Verify sensitivity of skin PK-based method
 - ✓ Absence of lateral cross-talk between application sites and skin re-absorption from systemic compartment
- ✓ **Verification of suitability of dOFM for different classes of topical drugs**
 - ✓ Low hydrophobic and low protein-bound: acyclovir
 - ✓ Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: lidocaine/prilocaine
 - ✓ Medium hydrophobic and medium protein-bound: diclofenac
- Commercial availability of clinical study performance

Commercial availability of clinical study performance

31

Microdialysis

- Probes are commercially available
 - CE-certified in Europe
 - Investigational device. Limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use
- Clinical dMD studies
 - Some CRO offer clinical MD studies

Open Flow Microperfusion

- Probes are not yet commercially available
 - CE-certified in Europe
 - Investigational device. Limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use
- Clinical dOFM Studies
 - Only Joanneum Research offers clinical dOFM studies at different clinical sites

Commercial availability of clinical study performance

32

✓ **Now Open: Call for Partnership with CROs to offer dOFM clinical studies**

We invite qualified institutes and organizations to become certified clinical dOFM™ partner

We will provide a comprehensive technology transfer package:

- Transfer, training and certification of the dOFM™ technology and clinical protocols
- Exclusive purchasing/leasing of the clinical dOFM™ components and hardware
- Right-to-use of the dOFM™ trademark and patents for the associated services
- Technology support and optional consultancy service to facilitate trial
- ...



Invitation for Partnership

Clinical Dermal Open Flow Microperfusion



Clinical dOFM™ provides unique skin PK/PD data and an alternative bioequivalence approach

Please visit:

<https://www.openflowmicroperfusion.com/call-for-partnership>

Email:

clinical.partner@joanneum.at

SUMMARY

Dermal continuous sampling techniques ...

- 💡 have advanced over the last decade dramatically.
- 💡 have the ability to directly measure the rate and extent to which these locally acting drugs become available from topical products at or near their site(s) of action in the skin.
- 💡 have shown in multiple clinical studies - performed in cooperation with US-FDA - their ability as skin PK-based methods to accurately assess dermal bioavailability.
- 💡 have shown adequate sensitivity ...
 - to show bioequivalence of a reference to itself and to US-FDA approved generic product.
 - to not show bioequivalence of a reference to a non-bioequivalent product.

Contacts

frank.sinner@joanneum.at

openflowmicroperfusion.com

croservices.joanneum.at



Thank you for
your attention