

Advances in Topical Bioequivalence Assessments: Characterization-Based Approaches

Topical Drug Development - Evolution of Science and Regulatory Policy II Challenges in Topical Drug Development – Harnessing In Vitro Methods

University of Maryland Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (M-CERSI) July 23, 2020

Sam Raney, PhD

Lead for Topical and Transdermal Drug Products Division of Therapeutic Performance, Office Research and Standards Office of Generic Drugs CDER | U.S. FDA

Disclaimer



This presentation reflects the views of the author and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.

The GAO Report (GAO-16-706)



- The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report in August 2016 analyzed a period spanning Q1 of 2010 through Q2 of 2015
- **57%** of the topical drug products experienced an extraordinary price increase in that period
- The average price of topical generic drugs was **276% higher** by the end of the period analyzed
- Manufacturers and other stakeholders reported that market competition, influenced by various factors, drives generic drug prices

The GAO Report (GAO-16-706)





Source: GAO analysis of Medicare Part D prescription drug event data. | GAO-16-706

Retail Prices for Dermatologic Drugs

		Price, US \$					
Drug	Туре	2009	2011	2014	2015	Absolute Change, 2009-2015	% Change, 2009-2015
Altabax, 15 g	1	92.50	106.18	168.75	196.86	104.36	112.82
Benzaclin, 50 g	А	166.79	205.80	451.29	503.85	337.06	202.08
Carac cream, 30 g	Ν	159.40	227.16	2939.68	2864.70	2705.30	1697.18
Clobex spray, 4 oz	S	389.57	500.29	827.11	958.01	568.44	145.91
Cloderm cream, 30 g	S	96.47	132.92	220.75	360.02	263.55	273.19
Cutivate lotion 120 mL	S	305.00	493.92	918.63	1067.25	762.25	249.91
Derma-Smoothe FS oil, 4 oz	S	45.70	47.23	247.84	322.67	276.97	606.06
Finacea, 50 g	А	124.42	185.42	288.92	284.30	159.88	128.51
Olux-E foam, 100 g	S	307.58	382.79	750.79	841.76	534.18	173.67
Oracea, 40 mg (30 tablets)	А	439.01	416.09	632.80	702.46	263.45	60.01
Oxistat cream, 30 g	I.	76.50	119.25	399.00	544.66	468.16	611.97
Oxsoralen-Ultra, 10 mg (50 capsules)	Р	1227.32	2150.49	4568.54	5204.31	3976.99	324.04
Retin-A Micro, 0.1%, 50 g	А	178.05	335.73	791.47	914.52	736.47	413.64
Solaraze gel, 100 g	Ν	442.89	618.56	1738.91	1883.98	1441.09	325.38
Soriatane, 25 mg (30 capsules)	Р	757.75	958.50	1452.50	1595.27	837.52	110.53
Taclonex, 60 g	Р	465.99	522.58	848.21	962.90	496.91	106.64
Targretin gel, one 60-g tube	Ν	1686.78	1787.97	15 708.40	30 320.12	28633.34	1697.51
Tazorac cream, 0.1%, 60 g	А	266.18	464.96	656.20	722.27	456.09	171.34
Xolegel, 30 g	1	212.50	278.00	389.25	641.96	429.46	202.10

Abbreviations: A, acne and rosacea; I, antiinfective; N, antineoplastic; P, psoriasis; S, corticosteroid.

Source: Miranda E. Rosenberg, BA and Steven P. Rosenberg, MD (2016) *Changes in Retail Prices of Prescription Dermatologic Drugs From 2009 to 2015.* JAMA Dermatology. 152(2):158-163. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.3897

www.fda.gov

Patient Access to Topical Products



- Approximately 80% of topical dermatological drug products have fewer than three generic competitors; for many products no generics are available at all
- This may have been attributable to the historical barriers to the development of topical dermatological drug products, possibly including
 - Difficulty/issues with comparative clinical endpoint bioequivalence (BE) studies
 - The complex nature of topical formulations

Topical Dermatological Formulations

- The formulation of a topical product matters greatly
- The components and composition modulate the physical and structural arrangement of matter
- The resulting topical product characteristics can influence metamorphosis and bioavailability

Topical Dermatological Formulations

- Components, composition, physical and structural properties of a topical product can influence:
 - The drug state(s) and phase(s) of the dosage form
 - The distribution of the drug in the dosage form
 - Drug diffusion within the dosage form
 - Drug partitioning from the dosage form into the skin barrier
 - The structure and chemistry of the skin barrier
 - Drug diffusion within the skin itself
 - Drug delivery and bioavailability at the target site
 - Skin (de)hydration, irritation, or damage
 - The metamorphosis of the dosage form on the skin

Failure Modes (BE) – Drug Substance

Is the Drug Substance **Dissolved** in the Formulation?

- Isomers of the drug
- pKa(s) of the drug
- pH of the formulation

Is the Drug Substance **Suspended** in the Formulation?

In addition to the potential failure modes identified on the left....

- Polymorphic forms of the drug
- Particle size distribution of the drug (and crystalline habit)

Failure Modes (BE) – Dosage Form



Is the Formulation a **Single Phase** System? *e.g., solution, gel*

- Excipient differences
- Viscosity/Rheology
- pH

Is the Formulation a **Multi Phase** System? *e.g., lotion, cream*

In addition to the potential failure modes identified on the left....

- Phases and arrangement of matter
- Distribution/localization of drug

Note: The packaging configuration itself may impact bioavailability

Mechanism and/or Site of Action



Is the Mechanism/Site of Action Well Understood?

- Acyclovir Topical Cream
- Benzyl Alcohol Topical Solution

An in vitro characterization based approach may be recommended

Is the Mechanism/Site of Action Not Well Understood?

- Dapsone Topical Gel
- Ivermectin Topical Cream

If the mechanism and/or site of action may be (partially) systemic, an in vivo PK study may also be recommended

Formulation of Topical Generics



Sameness or 'No Difference' in the topical formulation
Q1 (components) and Q2 (composition)

Mitigates the risk of failure modes related to:

- Irritation and sensitization
- Formulation interaction with diseased skin
- Stability, solubility, etc., of the drug
- Vehicle contribution to efficacy

Formulation of Topical Generics



- Q3 Similarity (Arrangement of Matter)
 - Mitigates the risk of failure modes related to differences in:
 - Q1/Q2 sameness (± 5% tolerances)
 - pH that may sting or irritate diseased skin
 - Polymorphic form of the drug
 - Rheology that alter the spreadability, retention, etc.
 - Entrapped air and drug amount per dose
 - Phase states and diffusion, partitioning, etc.
 - Metamorphosis and drying rates

Q3 Sameness for Topical Products

- FDA
- An evolving concept *for topical dermatological products*

Q3 Sameness —

Same Components & Composition as the Reference Product \pm 5%, and Same Physical & Structural Properties

Q2 Sameness

Same Components & Composition as the Reference Product \pm 5%

Q1 Sameness

Same Components as the Reference Product

Generally allowing for variability within the range characterized for batches of the reference product

Potentially allowing for a difference in the nominal amount of a pH adjusting agent to match the reference product

Generally allowing for variations in an ingredient that comply with the relevant compendial standard

Evaluation of BE for Topical Products

- A Modular Framework for In Vitro BE Evaluation
 - Qualitative (Q1) and Quantitative (Q2) Sameness or 'No Difference'
 - Physical and Structural (Q3) Sameness/Similarity
 - IVRT (In Vitro Release Test)
 - **IVPT** (In Vitro Permeation Test)
- Multiple Approaches for BE Evaluation
 - In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Studies
 - In Vivo Pharmacodynamic (Vasoconstrictor) Studies
 - In Vivo Comparative Clinical Endpoint BE Studies
 - In Silico Quantitative Methods, Modeling and Simulation

Metronidazole, 0.75% In Vitro Data

Quality Attribute	Metrocream [®]	Generic Cream (Fougera)	Metrogel [®]	Generic Gel (Tolmar)	Generic Gel (Taro)	
рН	4.8	5.1	5.2	5.0	5.4	10 ₈ E Vield
ensity (g/cc)	1.02	1.02	1.01	1.02	1.02	4- Yield
VOA (g.sec)	57.6	63.9	39.4	43.9	42.0	2-
Particle size (µm)		10 ³ Vield				
Drug in Aq (mg/g)	4.20	2.92				
Drug in Oil (mg/g)	2.58	3.94				U 10 ² Vield
olvent Activity	0.977	0.974	0.992	0.994	1.002	6 ● Met 4 ■ Met × Met
Globule size, d50 (µm)	2.8	2.2				2
rying,T30(min)	17	11.4	5.5	4.7	6.5	10' biti 0.1

D

Rheology



www.fda.gov Data provided courtesy of Prof. Narasimha Murthy (University of Mississippi) FDA Award U01-FD005223

FDA Metronidazole, 0.75% In Vitro Data **Drying Rate** Quality Generic Cream **Generic Gel Generic Gel** Metrocream® Metrogel[®] Attribute (Fougera) (Tolmar) (Taro) pН 4.8 5.1 5.2 5.0 5.4 100 -Fougera Cream Density (g/cc) 1.02 1.02 1.01 1.02 1.02 Metrocream WOA (g.sec) 57.6 63.9 39.4 43.9 42.0 80 --- Tolmar Gel % Product remaining Particle size Active ingredient is completely dissolved Dose 10 mg/cm² (μm) Prasco Gel 60 Drug in Aq 4.20 2.92 ___ _ _ _ ____ (mg/g)40 Drug in Oil 2.58 3.94 ---____ ----(mg/g)20 1.002 Solvent Activity 0.977 0.974 0.992 0.994 Globule size, 2.8 2.2 _ _ _ _ ____ ___ 0 d50 (µm) 0 50 100 150 200 17 11.4 5.5 4.7 6.5 Drying, T₃₀(min) Time (min)

www.fda.gov Data provided courtesy of Prof. Narasimha Murthy (University of Mississippi) FDA Award U01-FD005223

Metronidazole, 0.75% In Vitro Data



www.fda.gov Data provided courtesy of Prof. Narasimha Murthy (University of Mississippi) FDA Award U01-FD005223

Product Quality and Performance



pH

Product Quality and Performance



Q3 Sameness vs. Similarity

• An evolving concept *for topical dermatological products*

Q3 Sameness

Same Components & Composition as the Reference Product \pm 5%, and Same Physical & Structural Properties

Q2 Sameness

Same Components & Composition as the Reference Product \pm 5%

Q1 Sameness

Same Components as the Reference Product

Q3 Similarity

Similar Components & Composition to the Reference Product, and Similar Physical & Structural Properties

No Difference

in inactive ingredients or other aspects of the formulation relative to the reference product **that may significantly affect local or systemic bioavailability** (e.g., Q1/Q2 sameness, but not necessarily)

www.fda.gov

Alternative BE Approaches

- Certain BE approaches may **generally** be alternatives for topical dermatological drug products
 - In vitro (characterization-based) BE approach
 - In vivo (comparative clinical endpoint) BE approach
- Product-specific guidances may state:

Applicants intending to propose an alternative approach by which to demonstrate bioequivalence should refer to the guidance for industry Controlled Correspondence Related to Generic Drug Development and the guidance for industry Formal Meetings Between FDA and ANDA Applicants of Complex Products Under GDUFA for additional information describing the procedures on how to clarify regulatory expectations regarding your individual drug development program.

www.fda.gov

FDA Product-Specific Guidance (PSG)

 Product-Specific Guidances for Generic Drug Development (Searchable) <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidances-drugs/product-specific-guidances-generic-drug-development</u>

FDA						Q Search E Menu
IN THIS SECTION: Guidances (D	rugs)					~
← Guidances (Drugs)						
Product-Spec	ific G	uidan	ces for	Gene	ric Drug	Development
						•
	f Share	y Tweet	in Linkedin	🔛 Email	Print	
Search Pr	oduct-S	pecific G	uidances	for Genei	ic Drug Dev	relopment

FDA Acyclovir Cream PSG



• Draft Guidance on Acyclovir (*Recommended Dec 2014; Revised Dec 2016*) <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/psg/Acyclovir_topical%20cream_RLD%2021478_RV12-16.pdf</u>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft Guidance on Acyclovir

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, or the Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the Office of Generic Drugs.

Active Ingredient:	Acyclovir
Dosage Form; Route:	Cream; topical
Recommended Studies:	Two options: in vitro or in vivo study

Next Steps



• Q3 Characterization

Developing compendial methods for Q3 characterization

- What instrumentation to utilize (e.g., for polymorphs)
- How many samples to analyze (e.g., number of particles)
- How many replicates to use (e.g., rheological measurements)
- How to report results (e.g., viscosity at low/mid/high shear)
- Other considerations

Next Steps



• IVRT Studies

Improving general understanding of IVRT principles and practices

- Pseudo-infinite dose kinetics
- Steady state release rate for a suitably sustained duration
- Appropriate linearity of steady state region
- Misconceptions surrounding a dose depletion exceeding 30%
- Issues related to specific apparatus and/or metamorphosis
- Issues related to studies with certain synthetic membranes

Next Steps



• IVPT Studies

Improving general understanding of IVPT principles and practices

- Finite dose kinetics, dose depletion, and metamorphosis
- Diffusion cell apparatus and sampling of the receptor solution
- Considerations relating to skin type, preparation, and storage
- Barrier integrity assumptions, testing, and acceptance criteria
- Study designs and data analyses (appropriate to context of use)
 - Dose duration vs. study duration; number of donors vs. replicates
- w.fda.gov Questions/Issues related to "outlier" or aberrant data

Future Research & Discussion



- Further develop standard (compendial) test methods for:
 - Q3 Characterization
- Enhance the overall level of investigator experience with principles and technical considerations for:

- IVRT Studies

• Evolve/Establish best practices, study designs, qualified apparatus, and compendial methods for:

- IVPT Studies

Acknowledgements



U.S. Food & Drug Administration

- Priyanka Ghosh, PhD
- Tannaz Ramezanli, PharmD, PhD
- Markham C. Luke, MD, PhD
- Robert Lionberger, PhD
- Pahala Simamora, PhD
- Richard Chang, PhD
- Bing Cai, PhD

Research Collaborators

Funding for studies for which results were shown was made possible, in part, by the FDA through:

FDA Award U01FD005223

Awarded to:

Prof. Narasimha Murthy, PhD The University of Mississippi



www.fda.gov