#### Topical Dermatological Drug Products Complex Generic Drug Products (CGDPs) with a Locally-Acting Route of Delivery

Flight Simulator Workshop: Learning How to Develop CGDPs

November 3, 2018

Tannaz Ramezanli, PharmD, PhD Pahala Simamora, PhD Andrew Babiskin, PhD Markham Luke MD, PhD

CDER | US FDA







## Disclaimer



This presentation reflects the views of the authors and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.

#### FDA

### **Topical Dermatological Products**

#### **Examples:**

- Solutions
- Powders
- Gels
- Ointments
- Lotions
- Creams
- Aerosols/Foams



### Generic Topical Drug Product Development



#### Considerations:

- Establish Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP)
- Comprehensive testing of RLD product
  - Multiple lots (fresh and aged lots)
  - Mean value and variability of quality attributes
- Identify Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs)
  - Derived from QTPP
  - Associated with API, excipients, intermediates (in-process materials) and drug product
- Conduct risk-based approach to product development
  - Excipient selection
  - Identify and rank the risks (input materials, process, equipment) with potential to have an impact on product quality
  - Linking material attributes and process parameters to drug product
  - Risk mitigation
  - Product understanding
- Selection of appropriate manufacturing process
  - Process robustness
- Develop control strategy
- www.fda.gov Ensuring product of required quality will be produced consistently

### Generic Topical Drug Product Development



#### Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP)

- Information regarding RLD product
- Sources: Labeling, literature, patent, etc.
- Information collected:
  - Dosage form
  - Strength
  - Active and inactive ingredients
  - Dose and administration
  - Container closure system
  - Storage conditions
  - Shelf life, etc.

#### Example for hypothetical generic cream USP, N%

| QTPP Element                       | Target   | Justification  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dosage form                        | Cream  | Pharmaceutical equivalence requirement: Same<br>dosage form  |  |
| Route of administration            | Topical  | Pharmaceutical equivalence requirement: Same<br>route administration   |  |
| Dosage strength                    | N% w/w   | Pharmaceutical equivalence requirement: Same<br>strength   |  |
| Stability                          | At least 24-month shelf-life at room   | Equivalent to or better than RLD shelf-life,   |  |
|                                    | temperature.   | pharmaceutical equivalence requirement.  |  |
| Drug product quality<br>attributes | Physical Attributes: rheological behavior,<br>drug particle size, oil globule size, pH, in<br>vitro release test<br>Identification | Pharmaceutical equivalence requirement:<br>Meeting the same compendial or other<br>applicable (quality) standards (i.e., identity, assay<br>purity, and quality) |  |
|                                    | Assay  |  |  |
|                                    | Homogeneity and Tube Uniformity<br>Degradation products/Residual Solvent   |  |  |
|                                    | Microhial Limits   |  |  |
| Container closure system           | Identical primary packaging to RLD   | Match RLD and for patient acceptability  |  |
| Package Integrity                  | No failure   | Needed for stability, clinical effectiveness and<br>safety   |  |
| Administration                     | Concurrence with RLD labeling  | Information provided in the RLD labeling   |  |

### Single/Multi Phase System (e.g., solution, gels)





• Excipients are dissolved

Making solution

Solution

Straightforward

**Quality attributes:** e.g. chemical, pH, etc.

Process

Product



Appearance, chemical, pH, etc.

Simple mixing (non viscous)

Simple processing equipment



- Similar to solution
- Excipient(s) dissolved/dispersed
  +
- Viscosity/rheology,
- Excipient difference/grade
- Quality attributes: e.g. chemical, pH, viscosity, etc.

Gels

- Mixing of viscous formulation
- Type of processing equipment
- Processing conditions: time, rate, temperature, etc.

Appearance, chemical, viscosity, pH, etc.

- API is dispersed
- Excipient(s) dissolved/dispersed

  - Viscosity/rheology,
- Excipient difference/grade
- API PSD
- API polymorphism
- API bulk and content uniformity
- Quality attributes: e.g. chemical, pH, viscosity, API PSD, API polymorphism, uniformity,

#### etc.

٠

- Mixing of viscous formulation
- Type of processing equipment
- Processing conditions: time, rate, temp, etc.

Appearance, chemical, pH, viscosity, pH, API PSD, API polymorphism, uniformity, etc.

www.fda.gov

#### Complexity increases so do risks

#### Multi Phase System (Emulsions)



Emulsions (creams/lotions)

- API is solubilized
- Excipient(s) dissolved/dispersed

+



- Viscosity/rheology,
- Excipient difference/grade
- Globule size
- Quality attributes: e.g. chemical, pH, viscosity, globule size, etc.



- Mixing of viscous formulation
  - Type of processing equipment emulsification
  - Processing conditions: time, rate, temperature, etc.
  - Impact of processing conditions on the quality attributes/product quality?

Controls

Appearance, chemical, viscosity, pH, globule size, etc.

Complexity increases so do risks

API is dispersed

- Excipient(s) dissolved/dispersed
  +
- Viscosity/rheology,
- Excipient difference/grade
- API PSD
- API polymorphism
- API bulk and content uniformity
- Globule size
- Quality attributes: e.g. chemical, pH, viscosity, API PSD, API polymorphism, uniformity, globule size, etc.
- Mixing of viscous formulation
- Type of processing equipment emulsification
- Processing conditions: time, rate, temp, etc.
- Impact of processing conditions on the quality attributes/product quality?

Appearance, chemical, pH, viscosity, pH, API PSD, API polymorphism, uniformity, globule size, etc.

www.fda.gov

## **Risks - Drug Substance**

#### What would you do if ....

API is solubilized in the formulation

- Quality attributes
  - Physical attributes? None/Low
  - Chemical attributes:
    - Assay
    - Related substances

| ٠ | Α | Ρ |
|---|---|---|

- Preservatives
- Anti-oxidant
- Chelator
- etc.

#### API is dispersed in the formulation

- Quality attributes
  - Physical attributes? Yes
    - e.g. Polymorphism, Particle size, Crystal habits, etc.
    - Should be evaluated in the drug product
  - Chemical attributes:
    - same as identified on the left

### Risks – Dosage Forms

#### What would you do if ....

#### Dosage form is a single phase system

- Examples: solution, simple gel and simple ointment
- Quality attributes:
  - Physical attributes due to API None/Low
  - Uniformity None/Low
  - Physical attributes due to formulation, e.g.
    - Viscosity/rheology
    - Excipient differences or grade
    - pH
  - Chemical attributes
    - Assay
    - Related substances

- API
- Preservatives
- Anti-oxidant
- Chelator
- etc.

#### Dosage form is a **multiple phase** system

- Examples: gel, ointment and emulsions (creams & lotions)
- Quality attributes:
  - Physical attributes due to API
    - None/Low (if API is solubilized)
    - Yes (if API is dispersed)
  - Uniformity Yes
  - Physical attributes due to formulation, e.g.
    - Viscosity/rheology
    - Excipient differences or grade
    - pH
    - Globule size
    - Specific gravity
  - Chemical attributes
    - Same as identified on the left

#### Generic Topical Product Development



#### **Approaches for establishing BE for complex topical products:**

- Understand the drug product to identify the potential regulatory pathways
  - Pharmacodynamic studies (vasoconstrictor (VC) studies)
  - Comparative clinical endpoint studies
  - In vitro product characterization
  - In vitro product characterization and pharmacokinetic (PK) studies

#### **BE Recommendations for Topical Products**



- Approaches for establishing BE for generic topical dermatological products are based on the complexity of the dosage form and the drug product.
- Potential failure modes for BE and therapeutic equivalence (TE) may increase as the product becomes more complex
- <u>Product-Specific Guidances (PSGs)</u> recommend studies appropriate to the nature and complexity of the drug product



### Failure Modes (BE) – Dosage Form

What would you do if ....

The Formulation a **Single Phase** System? *e.g. solution, gel* 

- Excipient differences
- Viscosity/Rheology
- pH

The Formulation a **Multi Phase** System? *e.g. lotion, cream* 

In addition to the potential failure modes identified on the left....

- Phases and arrangement of matter
- Distribution/localization of drug

Remember: The packaging configuration may impact bioavailability



### Mechanism and/or Site of Action

What would you do if ....

# The Mechanism/Site of Action Well Understood?

- An in vitro characterization based approach may be recommended
  - Acyclovir Topical Cream
  - Benzyl Alcohol Topical Solution

# The Mechanism/Site of Action Not Well Understood?

- If the mechanism and/or site of action may be (partially) systemic, an in vivo PK study may also be recommended
  - Dapsone Topical Gel
  - Ivermectin Topical Cream

www.fda.gov

### What would you do When....



fda.gov

Steps toward the development of a generic topical product

- Identify the reference listed drug (RLD)
- Identify the studies proposed to support a demonstration of BE appropriate to the complexity of the dosage form

#### You request pre-ANDA meeting with the Agency

- Submit details about the proposed formulation(s) for the generic product
- Submit a clear outline of the proposed BE approach and any supporting information
- Submit the information to support the feasibility of any novel techniques
- Submit information about all proposed product packaging configurations



#### Physiologically Based PK (PBPK) Modeling



### Regulatory Utility of Dermal PBPK Models

Utilize dermal PBPK modeling in:

- Product-specific guidance development
- Generic drug approval
  - Support alternative approaches for demonstrating bioequivalence (BE)
    - Comparative clinical endpoint BE studies may not be sensitive to formulation differences
    - In vitro testing for BE assessment for Q1/Q2 formulations
  - Define a safe space for critical attributes of dermatological products
    - Risk assessments on the impact of critical quality product attributes on in vivo drug product performance
  - Extrapolate BE assessments from healthy to diseased subpopulations

#### Dermal PBPK modeling is a powerful approach that can be used to

- explore relationships between systemic and local drug exposure
- predict in vivo performance of dermatological drug products when only product critical quality attributes are available
- conduct risk assessment on the impact of product critical quality attributes on the in vivo drug product performance of reference and test drug products

What would you do if ....



# Your Q3 attribute of your Q1/Q2 formulation deviates from the RLD or exhibits greater variability than the RLD?

- Can you establish that the deviation or additional variability will not impact local and/or systemic bioavailability?
- A mechanistic PBPK approach can explore of the impact of quality attributes on bioavailability



### What would you do if ....

## You want to use in vivo systemic PK as a pivotal approach to establishing bioequivalence?

- Can you establish that there is a correlation between some measures of systemic exposure and local bioavailability, particularly at the site of action?
- If local concentrations cannot be measured/not available, a dermal PBPK approach can be used to predict local concentrations for establishment of the correlation



Pharmacometric Approaches

## How Can Pharmacometric Approaches Be Leveraged in Generic Product Development?

- For designing adequately powered comparative clinical endpoint (CE) bioequivalence (BE) study
- Justify:
  - Shorter duration comparative CE BE study
  - Appropriate timepoints for comparative CE BE study
  - Pharmacodynamic endpoint in lieu of CE
- Propose different endpoint e.g. area under effect curve (AUEC), maximum effect (Emax) in place of fixed time point comparison

#### What would you do if ....



#### You have developed a MODEL to support approval of your generic product?

- The pre-ANDA product development meeting is a good venue to discuss your model and its application with OGD prior to ANDA submission
- Example of discussion topics:
  - How model performance/predictability will be assessed?
  - How the model will be verified (including model assumptions, limitations, optimization/refinement)
  - How virtual bioequivalence studies will be conducted? How will the two products (test vs. reference) be defined in the model?
- Acceptability of your approach will be determined at the time of ANDA review
- For PBPK modeling reports, refer to the 2018 Guidance for Industry PBPK Analysis Format and Content:

https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM531207.pdf

## Summary



- A good Pre-ANDA Product Development meeting package
  - Should clearly characterize the complexity of the drug product
  - Should contain the formulation composition of the test product
  - Provide clear and concise information about how the proposed approach can systematically mitigate concerns related to potential failure modes for BE
  - Should contain sufficient data and rationale to support the questions
  - If modeling involved, should contain a clear presentation of how the model will be used and how the model will be verified

