

# Equivalence of Locally-Acting Drug Products

Markham C. Luke, MD PhD Director, Division of Therapeutic Performance Office of Research and Standards, OGD, CDER GDUFA Research Public Workshop May 3, 2017



## What are Locally-Acting Drugs?

- Drug products not intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream
- The main site of action is local, e.g. the skin, the mucosal surface of the nose or lungs, the eyes, the ears...
- In the past FDA has relied on clinical endpoint bioequivalence studies when no other alternative was available
  - clinical endpoint bioequivalence studies often need large populations and may still not be sufficiently sensitive



## Why Focus on Locally-Acting?

- Relatively fewer generic products for locallyacting drug products
- New technologies may be available to provide new approaches for generic product equivalence



#### **Regulatory Basis for Alternatives**

- A 2003 addition to the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act at Section 505(j)(8)(A)(ii) indicates that
  - "For a drug that is not intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream, the Secretary may assess bioavailability by scientifically valid measurements intended to reflect the rate and extent to which the active ingredient or therapeutic ingredient becomes available at the site of drug action".



### Skin creams and lotions





# Q1 and Q2 and Q3 Definitions

- Classify product similarity
  - Q1: Same components
  - Q2: Same components in same concentration
  - Q3: Same components in same concentration with the same arrangement of matter (microstructure)
    - Q3 is characterization based determination
    - In vitro performance data can support Q3 equivalence or allow small Q3 differences
    - Q3 differences come from manufacturing or excipient sourcing



#### **FDA Coordinated Research**

- Six coordinated grants (international: US, Europe, Australia) that include
  - New in vivo data
  - Manufacturing of semi-solid formulations
  - Characterization of semi-solid formulations
  - New PBPK modeling approaches
- Advance Q3 Equivalence
   Guidance to generalize approach
- Open Flow Microdialysis
  - Dermal insertion of semipermeable tube

#### Acyclovir Cream 5%

	ZOVITAX	ZOVITAX	ZOVITAX	Aciciostau	ACICIOVII-IA			
	(USA)	(UK)	(Austria)	(Austria)	(Austria)			
	Water	Water	Purified water	Water	Water		1500 -	Zovirax cream 5% US
	Propylene glycol	Propylene glycol	Propylene glycol	Propylene glycol	Propylene glycol	0		<ul> <li>Zovirax cold sore creat</li> </ul>
	Mineral oil	Liquid Paraffin	Liquid Paraffin	Liquid Paraffin	Viscous Paraffin	cm <sup>2</sup>	1250 -	<ul> <li>Aciclovir 1A Pharma C</li> </ul>
	White petrolatum	White soft paraffin	White Vaseline	White Vaseline	White Vaseline	<sup>b</sup> n	1250	• TRACTOR TETERATION
	Cetostearyl alcohol	Cetostearyl alcohol	Cetostearyl alcohol	Cetyl alcohol	Cetyl alcohol	ased (	1000 -	In Vitro Release
	SLS	SLS	SLS			ele		
	Poloxamer 407	Poloxamer 407	Poloxamer 407			ut .		
		Dimethicone 20	Dimethicone 20	Dimethicone	Dimethicone	nou	750 -	
		Arlacel 165	Glyceryl Mono	Glyceryl Mono	Glyceryl Mono	an		
			Polyoxyethylene	Stearate	Stearate	tive		
		Arlacel 165	stearate	stearate	stearate	ulat	500 -	
Density (g/cc)	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.01	un un		<b>—</b>
Content Uniformity (%)	979+07	996+14	100 + 2 2	997+17	983+26	an c	250 -	<b>₽</b> ∕
Polymorphic Form	2 2 budrata	2.2 bydrata	2 2 hudrata	2.2 hydrata	2.2 bydrata	Mei	250	
Crystilline Habit	2,5 Hyurate Bostongular	2,5 Hydrate Bostongular	2,5 Hydrate Bostongular	Ovoid	2,5 Hydrate			
Particla size (dE0) (um)				C P	c		0 -	
	3.0	2.5	5,4	0.0	0			0 0.71
Vork of Adhesion	7.74	7.90	7.54	4,30	0.05			
work of Adnesion	59	81	60	1/	18			
Drug in Aq (mg/g)	0.49	0.64	0.49	0.37	0.26			
Drying Rate (T-30%)	>12h	~8h	~7h	<1h	<1h			
Water Activity	0.75	0.73	0.74	0.95	0.95			
1000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0.08	1	In Vitro
	Thixotro	pic Rheolog	2V 🔸	Aciclostad				
			, ,	Aciclovir 1A		0.07	-	6 Donor
			-	Zovirax USA	and the second			
				Zovirax UK psk	A STATE	0.06	1	т 🗼 Т
				Zovirax AUS				
		and the second second		A		2		- K 🗡 🗎
	A.	and a start of the		JA .		₹ <sup>0.05</sup>	1	
100	Alex			AN INCOMENT		Ę		
	and the second	*********	*******	********		0.04	-	
(a)	1000	********	and a state of the	********	1000000	Ð		
s (I	-	100000	and the second s		10000	<u>ă</u> 0.03	-	// T
res	100	the set	*******	********		E		
St	10000	11100000000				0.02		Ŧ
	000000					0.02	1	Т _
10								
0.	001 0	.01 0	.1	1	10 10	0.01	1 /	
			Shear rate 1/s					-
							100	

Zouirov Zouirov Acidoctod Acidovir 1A

www.fda.gov

Zouirov



**FDA** 



# *In Vivo* Dermal Microdialysis (dOFM)









#### Dermal Pharmacokinetics by dOFM (20 subjects)



Sampling Time (Hours)

Sampling Time (Hours)

Outcome variable	Cl <sub>90%</sub>		Outcome variable	Cl <sub>90%</sub>
log(AUC0-36h)	[-0.148 ; 0.162] or [86.2 % ; 117.5 %]		log(AUC0-36h)	[-0.369 ; 0.050] or [69.1 % ; 105.2 %]
log(C <sub>max</sub> )	[-0.155 ; 0.190] or [85.7 % ; 120.9%]	JOANNEUM RESEARCH HEALTH	log(C <sub>max</sub> )	[-0.498 ; 0.022] or [60.8 % ; 102.2%]

## **Ophthalmic Products**







### **Ophthalmic Products**

- Nine coordinated grants on in vitro characterization, drug release, and drug delivery modeling
  - Modeling and simulation tool chain: PBPK for ophthalmic delivery
  - In vitro release methods
    - University of Eastern Finland (suspension)
    - Texas A&M (emulsion)
    - University of Connecticut (ointments)
- Q3 In vitro approach for Q1 and Q2 formulations
  - Cyclosporine Emulsion (2013)
  - Difluprednate Emulsion (2016)
- Other Guidance
  - 10 ophthalmic suspension guidances
  - Research on study designs for aqueous humor PK
  - Q3 approaches



# **Orally Inhaled Drug Products**







#### **Inhalation Products**

- Inhalation Product Research
  - Role of dissolution, particle size and PK studies
  - CFD modeling of deposition
  - Non Q1-Q2 inhalation products
- Leads to Guidance: 15 PSGs for inhalation products available











#### Orally Inhaled Drug Products: Weight-of-Evidence Approach

2013 1<sup>st</sup> productspecific guidance for OIDP published

Device and Formulation Design

Comparative Pharmacokinetic Studies Comparative Pharmacodynamics or Clinical Endpoint Studies

**Comparative In** 

Vitro Studies

2016 Generic OIDP applications pending for review









#### **FDA Research Coordination for Inhaled Drugs**



FDA

#### Nasal Products

- Nasal Products
  - Use of PK studies alone for BE: in vitro, in vivo and modeling projects
- Innovative Technology
  - MDRS particle sizing
  - Instrument first available in 2012



ANDA approval in 2016 supported by this technology





#### WRAPPING IT UP





# Two Approaches to Locally Acting Equivalence

- Q3 Characterization and Performance
  - Ophthalmic and dermatological focus: sites where application is direct
  - Key guidance on ophthalmic emulsions and topical ointments
  - ANDAs have been approved based on Q3 approaches
  - Does not allow Q1/Q2 differences
- Weight-of-evidence approach
  - Used for nasal and inhalation: sites where there is indirect delivery and delivery device
  - Allows Q1/Q2/Q3 differences
  - PD/Clinical component is challenging for some active ingredients (inhaled corticosteroids)



# Stepping Forward: Integration

- Expand Q3/characterization approaches to nasal and inhalation products
- Go beyond Q3
  - Q1/Q2/Q3 approaches limits formulation flexibility and could limit generic competition
  - Non Q1-Q2 products often need an in vivo component of BE
    - PD measures, direct sampling or systemic PK are alternatives to clinical endpoints
    - Modeling and simulation is critical to the interpretation of in vivo data (especially PK) for locally acting products

# **Discussion Questions**



- Please help identify specific gaps in our understanding of locally acting drugs. Discuss how these gaps might be bridged through appropriate research investigations.
- What should we look for in prioritizing research investigations?
- Are there common themes across the locallyacting drugs that might yield useful research targets?



# Priorities for the Panel

- Development of alternatives to FEV clinical endpoint BE studies for inhaled corticosteroids
- Development of alternatives to clinical endpoint BE studies for locally-acting nasal products
- Evaluate the ability of patients to adapt to user-interface changes in generic drug-device combinations
- Expansion of characterization based BE methods across the full space of topical dermatological products
- Expansion of characterization based BE methods across the full space of ophthalmic products

# **Discussion Panel**



- Charlie DiLiberti, MS, Montclair Bioequivalence
- Candis Edwards, MS, Amneal
- Guenther Hochhaus, PhD, University of Florida
- Josephine Nguyen, MD, U.S. Navy & USUHS
- John Peters, MD, Deputy Director, OGD
- Badrul Chowdury, MD PhD, Director, DPARP, OND
- Sarah Yim, MD, Director, DCR, OGD
- Markham Luke, MD PhD, Director, DTP, OGD
- Sau (Larry) Lee, PhD, OPS
- Denise Cook, MD, DDDP, OND
- Kimberly Witzmann, MD, ORS, OGD
- Sam Raney, PhD, ORS, OGD



## Ears to you!





# Acknowledgements

All of my FDA colleagues and staff, current and previous, and their collaborators, including:

- Robert Lionberger, PhD
- Sam Raney, PhD
- Kimberly Witzmann, MD
- Darby Kozak, PhD
- Stephanie Choi, PhD
- Jonathan Wilkin, MD

