

In Vitro Bioequivalence Testing of Nasal Sprays Using Multiple Anatomically-Correct Nasal Airway Models Digital RDD 2020

Laleh Golshahi, Michele D Manniello, Sana Hosseini, Ali Alfaifi, Theodore A. Schuman, Michael Hindle, Worth Longest, and Dennis Sandell

Bioequivalence and Bioavailability of Nasal Sprays/Aerosols for Local Action

Bioequivalence (BE): "the absence of a significant difference in the rate and extent to which the active ingredient or active moiety in pharmaceutical equivalents or pharmaceutical alternatives becomes available at the site of drug action when administered at the same molar dose under similar conditions in an appropriately designed study."¹

Bioavailability (BA): "For drug products that are not intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream, bioavailability may be assessed by measurements intended to reflect the rate and extent to which the active ingredient or active moiety becomes available at the site of action."¹

¹ FDA Draft Guidance (2003): Guidance for Industry (Draft). Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action.

Pathway to a Biopredictive In vitro Bioequivalence Methods

- Current *in vitro* BE tests are based on a rigorous weight of evidence approach, emphasizing on spray properties e.g. angle, width, or ovality ratios, but evaluated outside and independent of nasal cavity.
- To make *In vitro* assessment predictive of *in vivo* local nasal deposition BE methods may need to incorporate
 - The critical interactions between device and nasal airways while accounting for patient use conditions (administration) and formulation
 - Inter- and intra-subject variability in airway anatomy and breathing



Objectives

Develop low cost and controlled *in vitro* test methods, closely replicating *in vivo* delivery of locally-acting drugs (e.g. corticosteroids), allowing comparison of test and reference products.

Identify the range of posterior delivery efficiency (i.e. drug delivered to the region posterior to the nasal valve) using two nasal spray products with different spray properties administered to multiple anatomical nasal models.

Rank and compare the ranking of nasal cavities based on their resulting posterior delivery using the two different products.



Anatomical Nasal Models



Anatomical nasal airway models have been considered as effective tools for determination of local deposition efficiency, so can contribute to identifying the range or variation in drug delivery to the region of interest.

Solid Nasal Airway Models in STL

42 scans were screened to select the final 20 adult subjects with healthy nasal airways (50% female and 50% ≥50 years, age range 21–75 years old)





Identification of the Internal Nasal Valve (INV)

Initially, based on the rhinology literature,^{1,2} an oblique coronal plane perpendicular to the bony nasal dorsum was intended to be used to define the internal nasal valve (INV) as the region in the cross-sectional plane just before the inferior turbinate on the right side of the patient starts appearing in the plane.

In order to consider the anatomical regions shown below, forming INV, and obtaining the minimum cross-sectional area (A_{min}) , the process of cutting the anterior region had to be modified.



https://www.lamfacialplastics.com/

e of Engineering



https://www.slideshare.net/godplaywei/surgicalanatomy-of-the-nose-2335808

1. Poetker et al. 2004, Computed tomography technique for evaluation of the nasal valve. *Arch. Facial Plast. Surg.*

2. Cakmak et al. 2003, Value of acoustic rhinometry for measuring nasal valve area. *Laryngoscope*.

Assessment of the Internal Nasal Valve (INV)



 Nasal Valve Angle (NVA): θ_{NVA}= 10.87±6.19° Poetker et al. (2004): 8.3±2.0° Classical range: 10-15°

Poetker et al., Computed tomography technique for evaluation of the nasal valve. Arch. Facial Plast. Surg.

Acoustic Axis: θ_{AA-HP} = 40.20±7.06°
Cakmak et al. (2003): 45-55° for 25 healthy subjects

Cakmak et al. Value of acoustic rhinometry for measuring nasal valve area. *Laryngoscope*.





Printed Nasal Models



The posterior regions of the twenty nasal models printed in clear resin







The front and side view of Model 1 in the final printed form in two pieces: anterior and posterior pieces.

9 🔍

Nasal Spray Products





Flonase [®] API: Fluticasone Propionate (FP) Nominal Dose: 50 µg of FP in each 100 mg Spray Spray Volume: 100 µL Recommended Dosage: 2 sprays per nostril once daily

Flonase [®] Sensimist [™] API: Fluticasone Furoate (FF) Nominal Dose: 27.5 µg per spray Spray Volume: 50 µL Recommended Dosage: 2 sprays per nostril once daily



VCU College of Engineering

Insertion of Nasal Sprays through Nostrils

The mean (SD) of the insertion depth for Flonase was 15.1 (2.6) mm, whereas for Sensimist the nozzle, with the length of 12.5 mm, was inserted all in.







Administration of Sprays and Setup



Coronal Angle







Fig. 1 Three head positions: a Lying head back (*LHB*, chin as highest point), b lateral head low (*LHL*, lying on one side), and c head down and forward (*HDF*, "praying to Mecca")

http://www.intranasal.net/DeliveryT echniques/default.htm 12

Head and Coronal Angles: Flonase®

The mean (SD) and range of head angles: 58 (5)[°], 50-66[°] The mean (SD) and range of coronal angles: 39 (10)[°], range 24-57[°]



Head and Coronal Angles: Flonase® Sensimist[™]

The mean (SD) and range of head angles: 48 (6)°, range: 33-58° The mean (SD) and range of coronal angles: 37 (7)°, range: 23-50°



Actuation of Sprays: Force Profile and Timing Relative to Breathing

Table 1

Inhalation parameters from breathing patterns of nine healthy adult volunteers with one nostril blocked and the breathing through one nostril following two types of instructions "breathe gently through your nose with your mouth closed" or to "breathe vigorously through your nose with your mouth closed" from <u>Guo</u> *et al* [12].

| Breathing | Tidal | PIF (L/min) | T ^{max} (s) | T _d (s) | Slope (L/ | |
|---|-------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| pattern | volume (mL) | | | | min s) | |
| Slow | 560.6±260.3 | 20.2±8.0 | 0.4±0.5 | 1.9±1.0 | 50.9±29.9 | |
| (gently) | | | | | | |
| Fast | 619.1±517.7 | 35.8±14.1 | 0.3±0.4 | 1.2±0.6 | 133.6±61.3 | |
| (vigorously) | | | | | | |
| Table 2 | | | | | | |
| Velocity- and Force-Controlled settings calculated from 20 adults hand data | | | | | | |

actuating Flonase ® by Doughty et al [11].

| Velocity-Controlled | Mean (SD) adult | Force- | Mean (SD) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Settings | settings | Controlled | adult settings |
| | | Settings | |
| Travel/Actuation length | 5.6 (0.4) | Actuation Force | 5.8 (1.4) |
| (mm) | | (kg) | |
| Compression velocity | 41.9 (13.5) | Force rise time | 0.3 (0.1) |
| (mm/s) | | (s) | |
| Velocity hold time (s) | 0.2 (0.1) | Force hold time | 0.1 (0.0) |
| | | (s) | |
| Release velocity | 34.9 (8.9) | Force fall time | 0.2(0.1) |
| (mm/s) | | (s) | |



Doughty et al. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm* 2011, 37: 359-66.

Guo et al. Pharm Res 2005, 22: 1871-8.

Average (standard deviation, SD) of total recovered dose as well as the average (SD) and range of posterior deposition

| Side of Septum in 20 Subjects | Left | | | Right | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Nasal Spray | Flonase | Flonase Sensimist | Flonase | | Flonase Sensimist | |
| | | | | | | |
| Actuation Force (kg) | 7.2 kg | N/A | 5.8 kg | 7.2 kg | N/A | |
| Total Recovery | | | | | | |
| (% Labeled Dose) | 94.4 (3.2) | 94.4 (5.2) | 95.6 (3.8) | 93.4 (3.7) | 89.4 (4.6) | |
| Posterior Deposition | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (% Recovered Dose) | 47.7 (23.3) | 61.3 (16.0) | 52.1 (21.2) | 57.1 (23.7) | 57.8 (15.9) | |
| [Range] | [12-99%] | [42-92%] | [23-87%] | [22-91%] | [29-92%] | |
| CU College of Engineering | | | | | | |

Posterior Deposition Sorted in Ascending Order for Flonase-7.2 kg vs Flonase-5.8 kg in the Right Side of Nasal Models



Posterior Deposition Sorted in Ascending Order for Flonase-7.2 kg vs Flonase Sensimist



Droplet Size Distribution (DSD)

- Mie-based laser diffraction measurement, using Malvern Spraytec[®] (Malvern Instruments, Inc., Southborough, MA).
- D_{v50} at 6 cm < 3 cm (for both beginning and mid-life).
- D_{v50} at 7.2 kg < 5.8 kg for both 3 cm and 6 cm, but no significant difference in D_{v50} at the two actuation forces.
- D_{v50} Mid-Life< Beginning for both 3 cm and 6 cm.
- Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] showed similar trends.



Plume Angles using High Speed Imaging



High-speed imaging of the nasal spray plume geometry and spray pattern (topdown view) at 3 cm from the spray nozzle tip a) Flonase[®] b) Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] both hand-actuated (image not in scale).





Measurement of plume angles using highspeed imaging of Flonase[®] at 5.8 and 7.2 kg actuations forces (image not in scale).

Measurement of Plume Geometry and Spray Patterns using SprayVIEW®

- SprayVIEW Measurement Systems
 - Equipped with Proveris Vereo® Automated Actuator Systems
 - Works with a velocity-controlled actuator, but deposition data with Flonase were taken using force-controlled actuations and Sensimist was hand-actuated.
 - All 250 images were captured at 500 Hz



https://www.proveris.com/ sprayview-measurementsystems/



Results of SprayVIEW® Measurements: Spray Pattern

Flonase[®] vs Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] at 7.2 kg actuation force.

| 0 | | | | | | $\begin{array}{c} 0^{-} \\ 10^{-} \\ 20^{-} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} D_{\min} 17.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0^{-} \\ 10^{-} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0^{-} \\ 0^{-} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} D_{\min} 25.7 \pm 1.1 \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$ | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Device | Force | D _{min} (mm) | D _{max} (mm) | Ovality | Area (mm²) | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | |
| Flonase | 7.2 kg | 45.6 ± 1.9 | 32.9 ± 0.7 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 698.2 ± 27.7 | -42 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 53 -37 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 53 -42 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 44- Flonase® Sensimist TM 6 cm -30- -20- | | |
| Flonase Sensimist | 7.2 kg | 25.2 ± 1.8 | 28.7 ± 1.2 | 1.1 ± 0 | 572.1 ± 60.9 | $\begin{array}{c} 20-\\ 10-\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 20-\\ 20-\\ 20-\\ -\\ 20-\\ -\\ 20-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 10-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ -\\ 0-\\ 0$ | | |
| | | | | | | $3 \qquad \text{Area 211.0 \pm 18.0} \qquad 4 \qquad \text{Area 572.1 \pm 60.9}$ | | |

40-

30-

20-

Flonase® 3 cm

30

6 cm

20

Flonase®

40-

30-

20-

54

Results of SprayVIEW® Measurements: Plume Geometry



Flonase®7.2kg



Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] 7.2kg



| Angle (Degrees) |): 24.3 ± 2.5 | 45.6 ± 1.9 | $\underline{36.3} \pm 2.1$ |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Width (mm): | 25.9 ± 2.8 | 51.0 ± 1.5 | 39.3 ± 2.5 |



Conclusions

- A wide range of posterior delivery was observed using both Flonase and Flonase Sensimist.
- The results show the importance of the nasal airway anatomy in determining the fraction of delivered dose reaching the region posterior to the nasal valve.

 Anatomical airway geometries and interaction of device with anterior region may need to be considered in order to improve current *in vitro* BE test methods to make them more biopredictive for locally-acting drugs.



Acknowledgements



Respiratory Aerosol Research and Educational (RARE) Laboratory (contact: <u>lgolshahi@vcu.edu</u>)

- Sana Hosseini
- Michele D Manniello
- Ali Alfaifi



Funding was provided by Contract HHSF223201810144C, from the Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Views expressed in this manuscript do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, nor does any mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organization imply endorsement by the United States Government.