Modifications to the Multiple-Path Particle Dosimetry Model for Improved Predictions of Lung **Deposition from Metered Dose Inhalers**

INTRODUCTION

• The Multiple-Path Particle Dosimetry (MPPD) model has been widely used to calculate lung deposition of inhaled particles [1].

• The MPPD software was originally designed for inhalation of environmental aerosols.

• The calculation of lung dose from drug delivery devices such as metered dose inhalers (MDIs) presents additional challenges:

> - droplets emitted from MDIs enter the mouththroat region at high velocities, leading to enhanced deposition

- the breathing maneuver during an MDI spray is much different than a normal inhalation

- In this study, modifications were made to the MPPD software to address the above limitations for its use in estimating lung dose from MDIs. Modifications include:
 - improved mouth/throat deposition estimates using in vitro data
 - bolus delivery model
- To support this effort, in vitro experiments were conducted to measure particle size distributions (PSDs) and to estimate mouth-throat deposition from MDI formulations using various drug and cosolvent concentrations and primary drug particle sizes.

APPROACH

In Vitro Experiments

- Particle size data were obtained for albuterol sulfate (AS) and beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) model systems with varying ethanol and oleic acid concentrations and active drug particle sizes.
- Primary particle sizes were measured using static laser-light diffraction.
- Aerodynamic particle sizes were measured using cascade impaction.
- An Alberta Idealized Throat or a USP throat was used to estimate deposition in the mouth/throat region.

Modeling

• Two modifications were made to the MPPD model to improve predictions of lung deposition from MDIs:

- improved mouth/throat deposition estimates using results from the in vitro experiments
- new bolus delivery model to account for particle inhalation from an MDI
- A computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model of the mouth/throat region was used to estimate particle deposition sprayed from MDIs

In Vitro Experiments

Laser Diffraction:

Cascade Impaction:

(NGI) at a flow rate of 30 L/min.

Exhalation

Mouth/Throat Deposition

- The amount deposited in induction ports ranged from 24-69% and showed a general increasing trend with VMD (Fig. 3).



Figure 3: Deposition fractions in the USP and AIT induction ports for the AS and BDP model systems. A best-fit linear trend line and the results from the CFD spray modeling are also shown.

Jeffry Schroeter¹, Bahman Asgharian¹, Owen Price¹, Jay Holt², and Anthony Hickey² ¹Applied Research Associates, Raleigh, NC; ²Cirrus Pharmaceuticals, Morrisville, NC

METHODS

- Volumetric PSDs were measured for each MDI formulation using static laser-light diffraction (Sympatec HELOS)
- Measurements were made at 3 ms intervals at a distance of 2 cm from the laser beam for a period of 200 ms.

- Aerodynamic PSDs were determined using a Next Generation Impactor
- An Alberta Idealized Throat (AIT, Copley; coated with glycerol) or USP Throat was used for the induction port.
- Three cans were tested from each MDI batch. Each NGI experiment consisted of two actuations, and collected samples were assayed by LC-MS.



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the inhaled bolus model. Inhaled tidal air is represented by clean air volumes V_1 and V_2 and bolus volume V_{bolus} . The time points for bolus injection (T_1 and T_2) must be input into the MPPD model.

Modeling

MPPD Model:

• A new semi-empirical curve for mouth/throat deposition was developed based on deposition measurements in the AIT and USP induction ports.

• The MPPD model originally assumed that the inhaled particle concentration was constant during the entire breathing cycle.

• A new bolus delivery model was implemented to account for the inhalation of particle-free clean air before and after the bolus (Fig. 1).

CFD Model:

• The VCU mouth/throat geometry [3] was used to simulate inhaled airflow, particle deposition, and sprayed particles (Fig. 2).

• The k- ω SST turbulence model was used for transitional to turbulent airflow simulations at 15-60 L/min.

• Particles were naturally released from the oral inlet for model validation.

• Dry solid particles were released in a "solid-cone" spray formation from the oral inlet center to simulate an MDI spray.

RESULTS

• Volume median diameters (VMDs) ranged from 3.03-9.43 µm and from 4.11-5.36 µm for the AS and BDP model systems, respectively.

• A linear trend line was fit to the induction port data to estimate mouththroat deposition in the MPPD model.

Lung Deposition

- AS model system:
- BDP model system:

Table 1: Lung deposition predictions using bolus delivery compared with the non bolus (constant) inhalation. MMAD = $2.5 \mu m$, GSD=1.8.





• The semi-empirical curve for oropharyngeal deposition originally included in the MPPD model was derived from human studies of particle deposition under natural breathing conditions [2].

Average MMADs ranged from 1.80-5.72 µm and from 0.73-2.61 µm for the AS and BDP model systems, respectively.

MPPD predictions using the bolus delivery model showed a significant impact of bolus times on lung deposition (Table 1).

• Lung deposition predictions were obtained using the MMADs for the AS and BDP model systems. A breathing maneuver with a tidal volume of 5000 mL, 3 sec inhalation time, bolus injection time of 0.6 seconds starting 0.4 seconds after the start of the breath were used.

TB: 4.2-32.9%, PU: 5.0-35.6%, Total: 20.7-52.4%

TB: 4.0-11.7%, PU: 14.3-31.5%, Total: 18.2-43.2%

Figure 4: Comparison of CFD predictions of naturally released particle deposition in the VCU model with experimental data.



Figure 2: Left: Angular view of the VCU idealized mouth/throat geometry. Right: Airflow velocity contours along a centerline of the model.

• A wide range of MMADs were reported for both AS and BDP model systems, resulting in widely varying lung deposition predictions.

• CFD deposition predictions compared well with experimental data for naturally released particles, but spray deposition results in the mouth-throat geometry under-predicted the induction port deposition data (Fig. 3).

• Future work will focus on improving CFD predictions of spray deposition by accounting for more realistic spray atomization and droplet composition.

- 339.
- Eng. 35:560-581.
- Med. 14:255-266.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the U. S. FDA grant 1U01FD004943-01. The VCU mouth-throat geometry was downloaded from

www.rddonline.com/resources/tools/models.php.



RESULTS

DISCUSSION

• Improved oropharyngeal deposition estimates and the bolus delivery model had significant impacts on lung deposition predictions from MDIs.

REFERENCES

Asgharian, B., Hofmann, W., and Bergmann, R. (2001). Particle deposition in a multiple-path model of the human lung. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 34:332-

2. Stahlhofen, W. J., Gebhart, J., and Heyder, J. (1980). Experimental determination of the regional deposition of aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 41:385-

3. Xi, J., and Longest, P. W. (2007). Transport and deposition of micro-aerosols in realistic and simplified models of the oral airway. Ann. Biomed.

4. Cheng, Y. S., Fu, C. S., Yazzie, D., and Zhou, Y. (2001). Respiratory deposition patterns of salbutamol pMDI with CFC and HFA-134a formulations in a human airway replica. J. Aerosol