Effect of Spray Momentum on Nasal Spray Droplet Transport and Deposition



Arun V. Kolanjiyil, Sana Hosseini, Michele D Manniello, Ali Alfaifi, Dale R. Farkas, Laleh Golshahi, Worth Longest VCU Department of Mechanical & Nuclear Engineering

> Michael Hindle VCU Department of Pharmaceutics

Nasal Drug Delivery using Sprays

- Popular choice for intranasal delivery of locally-acting drugs such as corticosteroids, antihistamines, and anticholinergic drugs
- Advantages include non-invasive administration, fast onset of action, avoidance of first-pass metabolism and good patient compliance
- A spray is formed when the device is actuated, which forces a liquid formulation through an orifice ^[1]

[1] FDA, Nasal Spray and Inhalation Solution, Suspension, and Spray Drug Products—Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation, 2002.

Nasal Sprays Carry High Momentum

- Nasal sprays produce relatively larger droplets with sizes mostly higher than 10 µm^[1]
- Due to the large spray droplet size and high spray velocity, these droplets carry significant momentum
- This momentum from the droplets influences the gas phase in the region surrounding the spray nozzle tip
 - Two-way coupled momentum transfer



[1] Hosseini S, Wei X, Wilkins Jr JV, Fergusson CP, Mohammadi R, Vorona G, and Golshahi L: In Vitro Measurement of Regional Nasal Drug Delivery with Flonase,[®] Flonase[®] Sensimist,[™] and MAD Nasal[™] in Anatomically Correct Nasal Airway Replicas of Pediatric and Adult Human Subjects. Journal of aerosol medicine and pulmonary drug delivery 2019,32:374-385.

CFD Modeling of Nasal Sprays

- Previous CFD spray studies use one-way coupled discrete phase particle transport modeling and do not account for two-way momentum coupling
 - In one-way coupling the effect of the droplets on the gas phase is ignored
- An exception is Rygg and Longest^[1]
 - This study approximated two-way coupled momentum transfer by applying an air-jet that captured the injected droplet momentum
- As a more direct approach, a Lagrangian discrete phases particle transport model can be used to simulate two-way coupled momentum exchange through an iterative process

[1] Rygg A, and Longest PW: Absorption and clearance of pharmaceutical aerosols in the human nose: development of a CFD model. *Journal of aerosol medicine and pulmonary drug delivery* 2016,29:416-431

Objective

- Analyze effects of two-way momentum exchange between the nasal spray droplets and the surrounding air on a first principles basis using CFD simulations of Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] in simplified and realistic nasal cavity models
- Compare nasal spray transport using two-way coupled vs. one-way coupled discrete phase Lagrangian particle transport model



Methods

- CFD simulations were run using Ansys Fluent 19.0
- Mesh and solver settings followed our best practices and recommendations^[1]
- Initial and boundary conditions for the CFD model were based on measurements from in-house *in vitro* experiments
- Spray droplets were injected with polydisperse droplet size distributions, cone angle of 35⁰ and a turbulent velocity profile with an average velocity of 14.4 m/s
- Spray transport was simulated in a simplified conical geometry and in a nasal airway geometry with no inhalation flow

[1] Rygg A, and Longest PW: Absorption and clearance of pharmaceutical aerosols in the human nose: development of a CFD model. *Journal of aerosol medicine and pulmonary drug delivery* 2016,29:416-431

Flonase[®] Sensimist[™] spray droplet size distribution measured 3 cm and 6 cm from the spray tip using laser diffraction and hand actuation Two geometries for simulating spray droplet transport: Simplified conical geometry starting with the spray tip and a realistic nasal model of an adult with healthy nasal airway





Spray Transport in Simplified Conical Geometry

- Momentum exchange from the injected spray droplets to the surrounding air results in an air-jet like velocity profile, with velocity magnitude comparable to the spray droplet velocity
- Gas phase velocity is displayed as colored contours
- This induced gas phases velocity imparted additional momentum on the smaller droplets



Comparison of Distance Traveled by the Liquid Mass in Simplified Conical Geometry • Plot shows the percentage of spray



Spray Transport and Deposition in a Nasal Model

With the one-way coupling approach, smaller droplets have lower momentum and fall back to deposit on the spray nozzle



Streamlines with two-way coupling Two-way coupling approach

One-way coupling approach

Comparison of Deposition Results

• Anterior region was defined as approximately front 1/3 of the geometry

	Anterior region (%)	Spray nozzle + drip (%)	Posterior region (%)	Relative error (anterior region + nozzle + drip) (%)	Relative error (posterior region) (%)
CFD two-way coupling	92.5	0.0006	6.9	0.2	9.8
CFD one-way coupling	89.0	6.6	4.0	3.6	47.2
In vitro	92.4±0.5		7.7±0.5		

Compared to the *in vitro* data, the one-way coupled solution produced a 47.2% relative error in predicting the posterior nasal deposition, which was reduced to <10% with the two-way coupled solution

Conclusions and Future Works

- Effects of two-way momentum exchange between the nasal spray droplets and the surrounding air is significant and influences the spray droplet deposition in the nasal cavity
 - A direct two-way coupled Lagrangian model was shown to capture this effect, but was computationally expensive
 - Future studies will compare this method with the more computationally efficient approach of injecting an equivalent momentum gas velocity
 - Future CFD advancements are also required to capture high momentum droplet splash and post-deposition droplet spread

Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by Contract HHSF223201810144C, from the Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, nor does any mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organization imply endorsement by the United States Government.

Contact Information

Arun Varghese Kolanjiyil, PhD Postdoctoral Research Associate Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering	Virginia Commonwealth University Phone: (804) 827 3623 E-mail: <u>avkolanjiyil@vcu.edu</u>
Laleh Golshahi, PhD Assistant Professor Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering	Virginia Commonwealth University Phone: (804) 827-3742 E-mail: lgolshahi@vcu.edu Lab: http://wp.vcu.edu/lgolshahi/
Michael Hindle, PhD Professor Department of Pharmaceutics	Virginia Commonwealth University Phone: (804) 828-6497 E-mail: <u>mhindle@vcu.edu</u> Lab: <u>https://blogs.vcu.edu/mhindle/</u>
Worth Longest, PhD Professor Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering	Virginia Commonwealth University Phone: (804) 827-7023 E-mail: <u>pwlongest@vcu.edu</u> Lab: <u>https://sites.google.com/a/vcu.edu/longestlab/</u>

VIR U I A COMM 0 N W H ITY GIN E A N V E R S **1**