UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

Introduction

Although warfarin is a widely prescribed narrow therapeutic index anticoagulant, suboptimal dosing can cause serious complications including bleeding and thrombosis. Genotype-guided algorithms, such as warfarindosing.org, have been developed to facilitate optimal dosing in patients, for whom genetic information (VKORC1, CYP2C9, and etc.) is available. However, these regression-based algorithms primarily provide maintenance dose recommendations and are typically developed based on data from Caucasians on stable warfarin dosing. Findings from the COAG (Clarification of Optimal Anticoagulation through Genetics) trial, which was conducted in a diverse population (27% African Americans and 6% Hispanics), suggest that African Americans actually did worse when receiving genotype-guided dosing compared to those receiving standard dosing (1). To overcome these limitations, we developed a dynamic, pharmacogeneticsguided dosing nomogram that can guide optimal warfarin dosing in diverse populations.

Methods

Data from patients of diverse ethnicities (57% African Americans, 17% Hispanics, 16% White, and others) initiating warfarin with genotype-guided dosing (warfarindosing.org) were used in this study. Data management and exploratory analysis were performed in R (version 3.2.1). The Rosendaal method was used to calculate time in therapeutic range, assuming linear change between two consecutive INR measurements. A mechanismbased population kinetic-pharmacodynamics (K-PD) model characterizing warfarin dose/response was developed by expanding the model by Hamberg et al. (2) in NONMEM[®] (version 7.3).

Figure 1: Representation diagram for K-PD model of warfarin dose/response relationship



Warfarin initiation nomogram was developed based on simulations in virtual individuals with different covariate combinations. The parameters reported by Hamberg et al. (2) and those trained with our own dataset were used to simulate dose/response behavior in European and ethnically diverse populations, respectively.

Figure 2: Research plan to develop optimized dosing nomogram in a diverse population



Development of a Universal Pharmacogenetics-Guided Warfarin Dosing Nomogram

Jiexin Deng¹, Meghan Arwood², Julio Duarte², Larisa Cavallari², Stephan Schmidt¹

1. Center for Pharmacometrics & Systems Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Orlando, FL 32827 2. Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research and Center for Pharmacogenomics, College of Pharmacy, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610

Table 1: Estimated parameters for the K/PD model based on data from European (Hamberg estimates (2)) and an ethnically diverse population (our estimates)

PK Parameters	Hamberg Estimate (% RSE)	Our Estimate (% RSE)			
Structural Model					
CL per *1 allele (L/h)	0.174 (4.48)				
CL per *2 allele (L/h)	0.0879 (15.0)				
CL per *3 allele (L/h)	0.0422 (46.0)	Same (FIX)			
Age Effect on CL (% change/year)	-0.571 (57.8)				
V (L)	14.3 (3.45)				
K _a (1/h)	2 (FIX)				
PD Parameters					
Structural Model					
E _{max}	1 (FIX)	1 (FIX)			
γ	1.15 (4.4)	1.33 (2)			
EC ₅₀ per VKORC1 G allele (mg/L)	2.05 (10.2)	1.71 (2)			
EC ₅₀ per VKORC1 A allele (mg/L)	0.96 (9.7)	0.58 (7)			
MTT1 (h)	28.6 (2.4)	49.9 (7)			
MTT2 – MTT1 (h)	89.7 (4.6)	44.8 (18)			
Interindividual variability					
η _{EC50} (%)	34.0 (6.1)	113 (8)			
η _{KDE} (%)	58.9 (17.3)	42.9 (7)			
Residual variability					
ε _{INR}	20.0 (1.2)	20.5 (3)			

Table 2: A) Pharmacogenetics-based loading dose grid (in milligrams) according to VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotypes to be used for days 1 and 2; B) Pharmacogenetics-based dose grid in maintenance dose calculation to be used starting on day 3; C) Dose-adjustment nomogram during warfarin initiation

													U
	CYP2C9								СҮ	P2C9			
VKORC1	*1/*1	*1/*2	*1/*3	*2/*2	*2/*3	*3/*3	VKORC1	*1/*1	*1/*2	*1/*3	*2/*2	*2/*3	*3/*3
GG	10	10	10	7.5	7.5	7.5	GG	9	7	6.3	4.5	3.6	2.6
GA	10	7.5	7.5	5	5	5	GA	6.7	5.2	4.4	3.2	2.7	2
AA	3	3	3	3	3	3	AA	3.2	2.7	2.4	2.1	1.7	1.4

	INR	Dose Adjustmer
Day 3	< 1.3	↑ 10%
	1.3-1.5	No change
	1.6-1.8	↓ 10%
	1.9-2.1	↓ 20%
	2.2-2.5	↓ 50%
	> 2.5	Hold dose for 1 d
<i>Day 5/6</i>	< 1.3	↑ 50%
	1.4-1.7	↑ 20%
	1.8-2.5	No change
	2.6-3.0	↓ 20%
	3.1-3.9	↓ 50%
	≥ 4.0	Hold dose for 1 c
Day 7/8/9	< 1.5	↑ 20%
	1.5-1.9	↑ 10%
	2.0-2.8	No change
	2.9-3.5	↓ 10%
	3.6-4.0	Hold dose for 1 c
	≥ 4.0	Hold dose, test I

Its

Maintenance Dose (mg) = Genetics - Based Dose Grid - 0.01 * Age

nt se
ay, then $\downarrow 50\%$
ay, then $\downarrow 50\%$
ay, then $\downarrow 15\%$
IR daily until in range (2-3), then 1 25%







References

- Kimmel et al., N Engl J Med 369: 2283-2293 (2013) 2. Hamberg et al., Clin Pharmacol Ther 87: 727-34 (2010)





Results (cont'd)

Figure 4: Simulated INR profiles in virtual individuals with different combinations of covariates, such as VKORC1 and CYP2C9, who were dosed according to our optimized warfarin dosing nomogram. INR simulations for A, B) an ethnically diverse population and D, E) for a European population

Figure 5: Comparison of warfarin maintenance doses for a 70-year old individual calculated using our nomogram vs. dose recommendations shown on the FDA label for Coumadin[®] (27). **Error bar indicates FDA recommended dose range**

Conclusions

• Exploratory analysis indicates that our patients spent less time in therapeutic range compared to Europeans in the EU-PACT trial (55% vs. 65%) at 70-90 days of therapy.

• We developed a dynamic dosing nomogram that could enable patients to reach therapeutic INR within 1 week and remain stable across genotypes and ethnicities.

• Our nomogram was developed using data from patients newly starting warfarin and could make more accurate loading dose predictions.

• Our maintenance doses were consistent with FDA dose recommendations across different combinations of VKORC1 and CYP2C9.